

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 07/10/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/884,256	06/19/2001	Jingsong Xia	31075-7 EQ3	3823	
75	90 07/10/2006		EXAM	INER	
Troy J. Cole			PATHAK, SUDHANSHU C		
Woodard, Emha	ardt, Naughton, Moriarty	and McNett			
Bank One Center/Tower			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
111 Monument	Circle, Suite 3700		2611		
Indianapolis, IN 46204-5137			DATE MAN ED ATHABAS		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. 09/884,256 Examiner Sudhanshu C. Pathak 2611					Ç
Examiner Sudhanshu C. Pathak 2611 - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1366. In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failuse for pay whith the sat or extended period for reply will, by stating, cause the application to become ABMONDED (39 Ct. 5, 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication. Failuse for pay within the sat or extended period for reply will, by stating, cause the application to become ABMONDED (39 Ct. 5, 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any seamed patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.794(b). This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5 ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected. 7 ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected. 7 ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected to. 8 ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected to. 8 ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are peloted to. 8 ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are peloted to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9 ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 11 ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Sudhanshu C. Pathak Sudhanshu C. Pathak 2611			09/884,256	XIA ET AL.	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Identifies a drive may be wellable under the precision (31 2 FR 1.136(a)). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed - stere SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the manicum statutory period will apply and will explie SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the manicum statutory period will apply and will explie SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the manicum statutory specified will apply and will explie SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any carried patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filed on April 20** 2006. 2a) □ This action is FINAL. - 2b) □ This action is non-final. 3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits in closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) □ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application. - 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. - 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. - 6) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. - 8) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. - Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. - Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. - Application Papers 9) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. - Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 10 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim		Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SIx (6) MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period is poly and will expire SIX (6) MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply which the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ASANDONED(SIX (5) SIX3). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any examed path term adjustment. Set 37 CFR 1.73(6). Status 1)	_				
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be variable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13(e). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Critico later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any caused patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(9). Status 1) ★ Responsive to communication(s) filed on April 20 th , 2006. 2a ★ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits it closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ★ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on June 19 th , 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)			ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on April 20 th , 2006. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on June 19 th , 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage	WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 19th 2001 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage	Status				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 19th 2001 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage	1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on April	20 th , 2006.		
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filled on June 19 th , 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The drawing(s) filed on June 19 th , 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage	3)	Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	osecution as to the merits is	
4) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 19th 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 19th, 2001 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage	Disposit	ion of Claims			
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 19^{th}_, 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage	4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application.			
6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on	•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on	5)[
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on June 19 th , 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage	6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected.			
Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on June 19 th , 2001 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage	7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on June 19 th , 2001 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage	8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.		
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on June 19 th , 2001 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage	Applicat	ion Papers			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage	9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	ır.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage	10)🛛	The drawing(s) filed on <u>June 19th</u> , <u>2001</u> is/are:	a)⊠ accepted or b) objected t	to by the Examiner.	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage		Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)	١.
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage	11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.	
 a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 	Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 			priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage		1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.		
		2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicati	ion No	
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage	
		application from the International Bureau	ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.	

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
6) Other: _____.

Art Unit: 2611

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-to-13 are pending in the application.

Response to Arguments

- In regards to the Terminal Disclaimer filed with the amendment dated April 20th,
 2006 has been approved and thus the double patenting rejections have been withdrawn.
- Applicant's arguments, regarding the 35 U.S.C. 103 rejections, filed in amendment dated April 20th, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In regards to the specific argument that the Office Action fails to provide any evidence regarding why sixteen stages is simply a design choice, this is incorrect since the Applicant himself in the Background section of the application (Page 7, lines 11-14) this to be a design choice, the lines specifically state "<u>Typically</u>, the trellis decoder 350 uses a Viterbi algorithm to decode the signal encoded by the 8VSB trellis encoder 400. <u>Typically</u>, the trellis decoder 350 has a large number of stages-most often 16 or 24. ".

In regards to the argument that the Office Action fails to provide why a skill person would have been motivated to modify Birru based upon the alleged AAPA, the office action provides to motivation to be so as to decode the signal encoded in the VSB transmitter in the VSB system as is disclosed in the AAPA (Specification, Page 6, lines 4-9 & Specification, Page 7, lines 5-14), thus the motivation is indeed provided in the AAPA. Furthermore, the motivation to combine so as to implement

Art Unit: 2611

the 16 stage viterbi decoder is a design choice depending on the application the encoder/decoder are implemented in i.e. a 8VSB system. Furthermore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that a viterbi decoder has a multiple taps, and depending on the complexity / and the accuracy desired the number of taps are selected, therefore there is no criticality other than the application (an 8 VSB system) so as to implement a 16-tap decoder, as is also disclosed in the AAPA as described above.

Page 3

4. Applicant's arguments, regarding the Double Patenting rejections, filed in amendment dated April 20th, 2006 have been fully considered and are persuasive, i.e. the Terminal Disclaimer filed has been approved, and thus the double patenting rejections have been withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Birru (PG-Pub No. 2002/0172275) in view of Applicant Admitted Prior Art (AAPA).

Regarding to Claims 1 & 3-12, Birru discloses an adaptive equalizer (Fig. 9) comprising a Viterbi decoder having multiple stages and producing a decoded output (Fig. 9, element 250 & Fig. 15, element 250 & Paragraphs 58-60 & Fig. 10, elements

Art Unit: 2611

1030-1060); a decision feedback equalizer (DFE) having multiple taps (Fig. 9, element 720 & Fig. 15, element 1520 & Fig. 10, element 720); wherein the output of the decoder stages is mapped to the respective taps of the decision feedback equalizer such that the taps receive the output from the earliest decoding stages (Fig. 10 & Fig. 12). However, Birru does not specify the Viterbi decoder having 16 stages and the decision feedback equalizer having more than 16 taps and a mapper element between the decoder and the decision feedback element.

The AAPA discloses a method and apparatus for decoding data in a digital wireless communication system using a Viterbi decoder (Specification, Page 7, lines 11-23 & Fig. 3, element 350 & Fig. 6). The AAPA further discloses a trellis encoder to include a symbol mapper; wherein implementing a Viterbi decoder is implemented to decode the encoded data (Specification, Page 7, lines 5-12 & Fig. 4). The AAPA further discloses the viterbi decoder to include a number of stages, most often 16 or 24 (Specification, Page 7, lines 11-14). The AAPA further discloses the input into the decision feedback equalizer is the output of the mapper (Specification, Page 6, lines 15-16). The AAPA further discloses the decision feedback equalizer to include "M" stages (Specification, Page 6, lines 16-20). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that the AAPA teaches implementing a Viterbi decoder comprising 16 stages and a mapper so as to generate a mapped scaled output and this can be implemented in the adaptive equalizer as described in Birru such that the taps of the decision feed back equalizer receive as input the mapper output from the respective stages of the viterbi decoder

Art Unit: 2611

so as to compared the decoded/recoded data to the encoded received data so as to decode a signal encoded in an 8VSB system. Furthermore, there is no criticality in implementing the decision feed back equalizer with more than 16 taps or fewer than 16 taps, the selection depends on the accuracy or the complexity (computation time) desired in implementing the adaptive equalizer, therefore the selection of the number of taps is a matter of design choice.

Regarding to Claim 2 & 13, Birru in view of AAPA discloses an adaptive equalizer comprising a viterbi decoder, a mapper coupled to the decoder output, a decision feed back equalizer (DFE) coupled to the output of the mapper, wherein the input to each of the respective taps of the DFE is the output of the respective decoder stages via the mapped output as described above. Birru also discloses the adaptive equalizer further comprising an FIR filter (Fig. 9, element 710 & Fig. 10, element 710 & Fig. 15, element 1510 & Fig. 8 & Paragraphs 72-73). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that Birru in view of AAPA satisfies the limitations of the claims.

Conclusion

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Application/Control Number: 09/884,256 Page 6

Art Unit: 2611

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sudhanshu C. Pathak whose telephone number

is (571)-272-3038. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 9am-6pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chieh M. Fan can be reached on (571)-272-3042.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Sudhanshu C. Pathak

CHIEH M. FAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER